## Questions to speakers

**ULTIMATE-Subaru Working Group** 



## Questions to speakers

## All speakers: please include your answer to Q1-Q4 in your talk.

Q1: What do you think is the "KEY" science/observations for ULTIMATE in your research field? We hope to establish the very best science cases which are unique enough even in mid-late 2020s (i.e. post-JWST or WFIRST era!).

Q2: Which instrument (WFC/MOS/IFU) do you think is 1<sup>st</sup> priority for ULTIMATE? We currently consider the wide-field imager (WFC) is 1<sup>st</sup> priority, but we want to have your opinion.

Q3: Our current plan is to (1) build GLAO first, and then to (2) build new NIR instrument(s). This means that we will start our ULTIMATE science with <u>GLAO + MOIRCS</u> at the first stage. Do you have good science cases to be done with GLAO+MOIRCS during the period of ~2020-2023?

Q4: Which survey design sounds best for you (see *survey\_design.pdf*)? Your comments/suggestions on the ULTIMATE survey design are very welcome.

## Additional discussion items

Q5-Q8 are optional, but your suggestions on these items are very welcome.

Q5: <u>For those who are interested in wide-field imager (WFC)</u>: Are there any special requirements for the instrument specification (FoV, pixel scale, N. of NB filters)? Please note that FoV and pixel scale are trade-off (i.e. pix scale gets larger if we choose wider FoV).

Q6: Also, are you interested in <u>tunable filter on WFC</u> if technically feasible? With tunable filter we can flexibly change central wavelength of the filter, but the tunable filter is expected to be ~25% less sensitive than NBs.

Q7: For those who are interested in <u>spectrographs (IFUs or MOS)</u>: are you happy with our current specifications? We hope to have your comments.

Q8: Are you interested in using adaptive secondary mirror (ASM) with non-GLAO mode? We stress that the performance of existing Subaru instruments (e.g. IRCS, HDS, SCExAO) will be significantly improved once we install ASM.